

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,183	10/03/2006	Rainer Bader	T55120002	7462
27367 WESTMAN (7590 07/30/201 CHAMPLIN & KELLY,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
	AVENUE SOUTH JS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	20, 111 1 00 102		3774	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/574,183	BADER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANN SCHILLINGER	3774	

	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: The period for reply expires	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
Notice Of AFFEA. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a They raise new issues that would require further cor b They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c			ne issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		,	,			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. No For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ———————————————————————————————————	will not be entered, or b) wil	•				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5.7.9-12 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.		•				
because: As shown in the figure provided in the "Response to Arg two transitional, concave portions located on the sides of the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstructio only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary sk knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). Applicant's arguments re considered, but these features are not limitations in the	the elevated portion. Further, in r improper hindsight reasoning, it m n based upon hindsight reasoning, ill at the time the claimed invention such a reconstruction is proper. So garding the surface area of the pea	esponse to applicant's ust be recognized tha But so long as it take was made, and does ee In re McLaughlin, 4	argument that t any judgment es into account not include 143 F.2d 1392,			

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______13. □ Other: _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/DAVID ISABELLA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774 /A. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3774

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100729